

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,551	05/10/2001	Jevan Damadian	DAMADIAN 3.0-067 CIP DIV	7187
530 7	590 11/04/2003		ЕХАМІ	NER
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			KIM, PAUL D	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	1
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/852,551	DAMADIAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul D Kim	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
HE REPLY FILED 27 October 2003 FAILS TO herefore, further action by the applicant is requi	PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b.] a) \[\begin{array}{c} The period for reply expires 2::months from the mailling date of the first rejection. \begin{array}{c} The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$1X MONTH's from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY OCCENT HIS 800 WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REPLETION. See MPEP \$100.07(f). **TOROY ONLY CHECK THIS 800 WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REPLETION. See MPEP \$100.07(f). **TOROY ONLY CHECK THIS 800 WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REPLETION. See MPEP \$100.07(f). **TOROY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ONLY ON	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either:	avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action. or (2) the date set furth in the final rejection. Notewish, however, with however, with the saturity period for reply expire laster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7507(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee whose of the control of the final propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the period under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date of the final rejection, aver if the final rejection in the final rejection. The a) affidavit or skinbit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.<!--</td--><td>PERIOD FOR</td><td>REPLY [check either a) or b)]</td>	PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the suppropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.178(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in this fall office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it forescend. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.174(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \(\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) \(\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. \(\) Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. \(\) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. \(\) The a) \(\) affidavit or exhibit, or O() \(\) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: to the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaus	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In the later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.□ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.□ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.□ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.□ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)□ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)□ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the (c)	od of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The ali☐ afficiavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The afficiavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		
(b)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) PETER VO SUPERIVSORY CONTER 3700	(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	n in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Determine the proposed of the examiner. Other:	(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
4.	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: 6.		uld be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. TROUBLE OCX CENTER 3700	·	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.		ecause it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:
Claim(s) rejected: 44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF. TEQUNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Claim(s) objected to:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Claim(s) rejected: <u>44</u> .	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. TEOUNOLOGY CENTER 3700	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	10. Other:	
C. Detect and Trademat. Office	S. Patent and Trademark Office	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application No. 09/852,551

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the proposed After Final amendment filed on 10/27/03, claim 44 has been amended to include the limitation of "the cut strips have substantially smooth faces" in line 9. However, this limitation was not recited originally. Accordingly, this raises new issues that would require further consideration and search..